



TITLE:

# Operator Algebraic Shannon's Interpretation for Entropy-preserving Stochastic Averages (Mathematics for Uncertainty and Fuzziness)

AUTHOR(S):

長田, まりゑ

---

CITATION:

長田, まりゑ. Operator Algebraic Shannon's Interpretation for Entropy-preserving Stochastic Averages (Mathematics for Uncertainty and Fuzziness). 数理解析研究所講究録 2014, 1906: 162-171: KJ00009394239.

ISSUE DATE:

2014-07

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/223119>

RIGHT:

# Operator Algebraic Shannon's Interpretation for Entropy-preserving Stochastic Averages

Marie Choda

## Abstract

We study various relations of  $\rho$  and  $\Phi$  from the view point of the von Neumann entropy. Here  $\rho$  and  $\Phi$  are a state and a unital positive Tr-preserving linear map on the algebra  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  of  $n \times n$  complex matrices respectively. For the state  $\rho$  and the new state  $\rho \circ \Phi$  arising as the composition, we show among the others that these two states have the same value of the von Neumann entropy if and only if  $\Phi$  behaves for  $\rho$  as some automorphism of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

## 1 Introduction

Shannon([8, p.395 4.]) denotes as the followings: If we perform any "averaging" operation on the  $\{p_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$  of the form

$$p'_i = \sum_j a_{ij} p_j$$

(where  $p_i \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_i p_i = 1$  and  $a_{ij} \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_i a_{ij} = \sum_j a_{ij} = 1$ ), the entropy  $H$  increases (except in the special case where this transformation amounts to no more than a permutation of the  $p_i$  with  $H$  of course remaining the same).

This means the followings: The entropy  $H(\lambda)$  of a probability vector  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  and the entropy  $H(\lambda b)$  of the probability vector  $\lambda b$  for a bistochastic matrix  $b = [b_{ij}]$  are always in the relation that  $H(\lambda) \leq H(\lambda b)$  and the two values are equal if and only if the bistochastic matrix  $b$  behaves just as a permutation  $\sigma$ , i.e.  $\lambda b = (\lambda_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \lambda_{\sigma(n)})$ .

Replacing a probability vector  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (resp. a bistochastic matrix  $b$ ) to a state  $\rho$  of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  (resp. a unital positive Tr-preserving linear map  $\Phi$  on

$M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ), we show, among the others, that the von Neumann entropy  $S(\cdot)$  increases by performing any  $\Phi$  on  $\rho$  (except in the special case where this transformation amounts to no more than an automorphism  $\alpha$  of state  $\rho$  with  $S(\cdot)$  of course remaining the same).

## 2 Notations, terminologies and basic facts

The main tool is the *entropy function*  $\eta$  defined on the interval  $[0, 1]$  by

$$\eta(t) = -t \log t \quad (0 < t \leq 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(0) = 0.$$

The  $\eta$  is *strictly concave*, i.e. for two  $k$ -tuples of real numbers  $\{s_i\}, \{t_i\}$  such that  $s_i \geq 0, t_i > 0, \sum_{i=1}^k t_i = 1$ , it holds that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k t_i \eta(s_i) \leq \eta\left(\sum_{i=1}^k t_i s_i\right),$$

and the equality holds if and only if  $s_i = s_j$  for all  $i, j$ .

Moreover,  $\eta$  is *strictly operator-concave*, i.e. the similar relations hold by replacing  $\{s_i\}_i$  to any bounded self-adjoint operators  $\{x_i\}_i$  with spectra in  $[0, 1]$ , i.e.

$$\sum_{i=1}^k t_i \eta(x_i) \leq \eta\left(\sum_{i=1}^k t_i x_i\right)$$

and the equality implies that  $x_i = x_j$  for all  $i, j$ . (see for example [4, B], [5, 6]).

Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  be a probability vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e.  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  for all  $i$  and  $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$ . The *Shannon entropy*  $H(\lambda)$  for  $\lambda$  is given as

$$H(\lambda) = \eta(\lambda_1) + \dots + \eta(\lambda_n).$$

It holds always that  $H(\lambda) \leq \log n$  and  $H(\lambda) = \log n$  if and only if  $\lambda_i = 1/n$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Throughout this note, let  $H$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Hilbert space. We denote by  $M$  the algebra  $B(H)$  of linear operators on  $H$  so that  $M$  is isomorphic to  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , i.e. the  $C^*$ -algebra of  $n \times n$  matrices over the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$ . By  $\text{Tr}$  we mean the standard trace of  $M$  such that  $\text{Tr}(e) = 1$  for every minimal projection  $e$  in  $M$ .

Every positive linear functional  $\phi$  on  $M$  is of the form  $\phi(x) = \text{Tr}(D_\phi x)$ , ( $x \in M$ ) for a unique positive element  $D_\phi \in M$  which is called the *density operator* or *density matrix* of  $\phi$ . If  $\rho$  is a state of  $M$ , then the density matrix  $D_\rho$  is a positive operator in  $M$  such that  $\text{Tr}(D_\rho) = 1$ .

By using the eigenvalue list  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$  of  $D_\rho$ , the *von Neumann entropy*  $S(\rho)$  and  $S(D_\rho)$  for  $\rho$  and  $D_\rho$  are defined by

$$S(\rho) = S(D_\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^n \eta(\lambda_i).$$

### 3 The von Neumann entropy and stochastic averages

Our purpose of this note is to give a generalized version of Shannon's interpretation for entropy-preserving stochastic averages of probability vectors to the framework of von Neumann entropy for states on  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

In this section, we discuss the Shannon's interpretation in the framework of the von Neumann entropy as follows:

Replace a probability vectors  $\lambda$  to a state  $\rho$  of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , a bistochastic matrix  $b$  to a unital positive trace preserving map  $\Phi$  on  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , and the Shannon entropy  $H(\cdot)$  to the von Neumann  $S(\cdot)$ , then a permutation changes into an automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , i.e.,  $S(\rho \circ \Phi) = S(\rho)$  if and only if  $\rho \circ \Phi = \rho \circ \alpha$  for some automorphism  $\alpha$ .

#### 3.1 The pair $\{\rho, \Phi\}$ of state $\rho$ and positive map $\Phi$ .

Let  $\rho$  be a state of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . We denote by  $D_\rho$  the density matrix of  $\rho$ , i.e.,  $D_\rho$  is a positive operator in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  which satisfies that

$$\text{Tr}(D_\rho) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(x) = \text{Tr}(D_\rho x) \text{ for all } x \in M_n(\mathbb{C}).$$

Let  $\Phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be a positive unital  $\text{Tr}$  preserving map. Then  $\Phi(D_\rho)$  is a operator in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\text{Tr}(\Phi(D_\rho)) = 1$ .

In order to see the state whose density matrix is  $\Phi(D_\rho)$ , we need the system of the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ : The inner product

and the norm are given by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \text{Tr}(y^*x) \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\|_2 = (\text{Tr}(x^*x))^{1/2} \quad \text{for} \quad x, y \in M_n(\mathbb{C}).$$

The  $*$ -preserving map  $\Phi$  induces the adjoint map  $\Phi^* : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$  with respect to this  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  by

$$\text{Tr}(y\Phi^*(x)) = \text{Tr}(\Phi(y)x) \quad x, y \in M_n(\mathbb{C}). \quad (3.1)$$

Since  $\Phi$  is positive, it follows that  $\Phi^*$  is positive, and  $\rho \circ \Phi^*$  is a state by the property that  $\text{Tr} \Phi = \text{Tr}$ .

The  $\Phi(D_\rho)$  is the density matrix of this state  $\rho \circ \Phi^*$  because

$$\rho \circ \Phi^*(x) = \text{Tr}(D_\rho \Phi^*(x)) = \text{Tr}(\Phi(D_\rho)x), \quad (x \in M_n(\mathbb{C})).$$

We let the set of eigenvalues of  $D_\rho$  and  $\Phi(D_\rho)$  be

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n), \quad (3.2)$$

respectively. Here we arrange them always in a decereasing order, i.e.,

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_n. \quad (3.3)$$

We let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  (resp.  $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ ) be mutually orthogonal minimal projections, which gives the spectral decomposition of  $D_\rho$  (resp.  $\Phi(D_\rho)$ ):

$$D_\rho = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i \quad (\text{resp.} \quad \Phi(D_\rho) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j p_j). \quad (3.4)$$

We denote by  $A$  (resp.  $B$ ) the maximal abelian subalgebra of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  which is generated by the projections  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  (resp.  $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ ).

### 3.1.1 The unitary $u_{(\rho, \Phi)}$ arising from the pair $\{\rho, \Phi\}$ .

In these setting, a unitary  $u_{(\rho, \Phi)}$  appears and satisfies the following relation:

$$u_{(\rho, \Phi)} e_i = p_i u_{(\rho, \Phi)}, \quad \text{for all} \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad (3.5)$$

### 3.1.2 Bistochastic matrix $b_\rho(\Phi)$ for the pair $\{\rho, \Phi\}$

**Definition 3.1.** We define a matrix  $b_\rho(\Phi)$  by the formula

$$b_\rho(\Phi)_{ij} = \text{Tr}(\Phi(e_i)p_j), \quad (1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n). \quad (3.6)$$

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $\rho$  be a state of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , and let  $\Phi$  be a unital positive Tr-preserving map on  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  be the probability vectors of the eigenvalues of  $D_\rho$  and  $\Phi(D_\rho)$  respectively. Then the followings hold:

- (1) The  $b_\rho(\Phi)$  is a bistochastic matrix.
- (2) The probability vector  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is transposed to the probability vector  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^n$  by the matrix  $b_\rho(\Phi)$ :

$$\lambda b_\rho(\Phi) = \mu.$$

**Definition 3.3.** For each  $j$ , we set

$$I_j = \{i : b_\rho(\Phi)_{ij} \neq 0\}.$$

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $\rho$  be a state of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , and let  $\Phi$  be a unital positive Tr-preserving map on  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Assume that  $S(\Phi(D_\rho)) = S(D_\rho)$ . Then, for each  $j$ , we have that

$$\lambda_i = \lambda_k \quad \text{for all } i, k \in I_j.$$

Under the assumption that  $S(\Phi(D_\rho)) = S(D_\rho)$ , we denote the constant  $\lambda_i$  for  $i \in I_j$  in the above Lemma by  $\lambda^{(j)}$ . Remark that each  $I_j$  is a non empty set because  $b_\rho(\Phi)$  is a bistochastic matrix, and

$$\lambda^{(j)} = \frac{\sum_{i \in I_j} \lambda_i}{|I_j|} = \lambda_k \quad \text{for all } k \in I_j.$$

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $\rho$  be a state of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  and let  $\Phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be a unital positive Tr-preserving map. Then the followings are equivalent:

(i)  $S(\rho \circ \Phi^*) = S(\rho)$ , i.e.  $S(\Phi(D_\rho)) = S(D_\rho)$ .

(ii)  $\lambda = \mu b_\rho(\Phi)^T$ , i.e.  $\lambda = \lambda b_\rho(\Phi) b_\rho(\Phi)^T$  where  $\{\}^T$  denotes the transpose.

(iii)  $\lambda_i = \mu_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

(iv) The unitary  $u_{(\rho, \Phi)}$  satisfies that  $\Phi(D_\rho) = u_{(\rho, \Phi)} D_\rho u_{(\rho, \Phi)}^*$ .

**Remark 3.6.** If a state  $\rho$  is the normalized trace  $\text{Tr}/n$ , then the density matrix is  $I_n/n$  so that the all statements in the above theorem are trivial.

**Remark 3.7.** In the case of  $n = 2$ , if  $\lambda bb^T = \lambda$  for a bistochastic matrix  $b$ , then  $b$  is the nontrivial permutation.

In fact, let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ . Every  $2 \times 2$  bistochastic matrix  $b = (b_{ij})$  is written as  $b_{11} = b_{22} = b_1$  for some  $0 \leq b_1 \leq 1$  and  $b_{12} = b_{21} = b_2 = 1 - b_1$ . If  $\lambda bb^T = \lambda$ , then  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(b_1^2 + b_2^2) + 2\lambda_2 b_1 b_2$  and  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_2(b_1^2 + b_2^2) + 2\lambda_1 b_1 b_2$ . This implies that  $\lambda_1 b_1(2b_1 - 2) + b_1(1 - b_1) = 0$ . Hence if  $\lambda_1 = 0$  then  $b_1 = 0$  or  $b_1 = 1$ , which means that  $b$  is permutation matrix. Assume that  $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ . We may omit the case  $b_1 = 1$  and so we assume  $b_1 \neq 1$ , Then  $\lambda_1 = 1/2$  or  $b_1 = 0$ . As we omit that  $\lambda$  is the trivial case so that  $b_1 = 0$ , i.e.  $b$  is the non-trivial permutation.

**Corollary 3.8.** Assume that  $S(\Phi(D_\rho)) = S(\rho)$  holds for the pair  $\{\rho, \Phi\}$  of a state  $\rho$  of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  and a unital positive  $\text{Tr}$ -preserving map  $\Phi$  on  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then

$$\langle \Phi(D_\rho), \Phi(e_k) \rangle = \langle D_\rho, e_k \rangle \quad \text{for all } k.$$

A linear map  $\Phi$  on  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is said to be *2-positive* if  $\Phi \otimes \text{id}$  (the tensor product of  $\Phi$  and the identity map on  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ ) on  $M \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$  is positive. It is well known that if  $\Phi$  is 2-positive, then  $\Phi^*$  is 2-positive and the so-called Kadison-Schwartz inequality holds [2], (cf. [4, 5, 6]):

$$\Phi^*(x^*)\Phi^*(x) \leq \Phi^*(x^*x), \quad (x \in M).$$

**Corollary 3.9.** Let  $\rho$  be a state of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , and let  $\Phi$  be a unital positive  $\text{Tr}$ -preserving map on  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

If  $\Phi$  is 2-positive, then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i')  $S(\Phi(D_\rho)) = S(D_\rho)$
- (iv)  $\Phi(D_\rho) = uD_\rho u^*$  for some unitary  $u$ .
- (v)  $\Phi^*\Phi(D_\rho) = D_\rho$

Related results are obtained in [7] and [3].

**Example 3.10.** The conditional expectation conditioned by  $\text{Tr}/n$  is a most typical example of unital completely positive (so that 2-positive)  $\text{Tr}$ -preserving

linear map of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $E$  be such a conditional expectation of  $A = M$  to a  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B$  with  $1_A = 1_B$ . Then

$$S(E(D_\rho)) = S(D_\rho) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad D_\rho \in B.$$

In fact, the conditional expectation  $E$  satisfies that  $E^*E = E$ . By combining this fact with Corollary 3.7, we have that  $S(E(D_\rho)) = S(\rho)$  if and only if  $D_\rho = E^*E(D_\rho) = E(D_\rho)$  which means that  $D_\rho \in B$ .

### 3.2 Relations among various entropies

The weighted entropy  $H^\lambda(b)$  and  $H_\lambda(b)$  for a bistochastic matrix  $b = [b_{ij}]$  with respect to a probability vector  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  are defined in [10] by the following forms:

$$H^\lambda(b) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \sum_{k=1}^n \eta(b_{jk}) \quad \text{and} \quad H_\lambda(b) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \sum_{j=1}^n \eta(b_{jk}).$$

In the case where  $\lambda_i = 1/n$  for all  $i$ , these are denoted by  $H(b)$  simply :

$$H(b) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \eta(b_{ij}).$$

**Definition 3.11.** We let

$$J_\lambda = \{k; \lambda_k \neq 0\}.$$

Since  $\Phi$  is positive and  $\text{Tr}$ -preserving, each  $\Phi(e_i)$  is a density matrix which induces the state  $\rho_i$  given by  $\rho_i(x) = \text{Tr}(\Phi(e_i)x)$  for all  $x \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Definition 3.12.** Now we pick up the following constant  $S_\rho(\Phi)$  which is a convex combination of the entropies  $\{S(\Phi(e_i)); i = 1, \dots, n\}$  with respect to the eigenvalues of the density matrix  $D_\rho$ :

$$S_\rho(\Phi) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i S(\Phi(e_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i S(\rho_i).$$

The algebra  $B$  is a typical von Neumann subalgebra of the  $I_n$ -factor  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  and there exists always a positive linear map  $E_B$  from  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  onto  $B$  such that  $aE(x)b = E(axb)$  for all  $x \in M$  and  $a, b \in B$  which is called conditional expectation of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  onto  $B$ .



**Lemma 3.13.** *Let  $E_B$  be the conditional expectation of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  onto  $B$ . Then*

$$E_B(\Phi(e_i)) = \sum_{j=1}^n b_\rho(\Phi)_{ij} p_j \quad \text{for each } i.$$

so that

$$H^\lambda(b_\rho(\Phi)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i S(E_B(\Phi(e_i))).$$

**Theorem 3.14.** *Let  $\rho$  be a state of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , and let  $\Phi$  be a unital positive Tr-preserving map on  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .*

*Then the following relations hold for the weighted entropies of the bistochastic matrix  $b_\rho(\Phi)$  with respect to the eigenvalue list  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  of  $D_\rho$ ,  $S_\rho(\Phi)$  and the eigenvalue list  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$  of  $\Phi(D_\rho)$ :*

(1)

$$S_\rho(\Phi) \leq H^\lambda(b_\rho(\Phi)) \leq S(\rho \circ \Phi^*) \leq S(\rho) + S_\rho(\Phi).$$

(2)  $S_\rho(\Phi) = H^\lambda(b_\rho(\Phi))$  if and only if  $\Phi(e_i) \in B$  for all  $i \in J_\lambda$ .

(3)  $H^\lambda(b_\rho(\Phi)) = S(\rho \circ \Phi^*)$  if and only if

$$(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) = (b_\rho(\Phi)_{i1}, \dots, b_\rho(\Phi)_{in}) \quad \text{for all } i \in J_\lambda.$$

(4)  $S_\rho(\Phi) = S(\rho \circ \Phi^*)$  if and only if  $\Phi(D_\rho) = \Phi(e_i)$  for every  $i \in J_\lambda$ .

(5)  $S(\rho \circ \Phi^*) = S(\rho) + S_\rho(\Phi)$  if and only if the  $\rho$  is a pure state.

**Remark 3.15.** The above statement (3) says that  $H^\lambda(b_\rho(\Phi)) = S(\Phi(D_\rho))$  if and only if  $b_\rho(\Phi)$  has the following form:

$$b_\rho(\Phi) = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \mu_n \\ \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \mu_n \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \mu_n \\ b_\rho(\Phi)_{k1} & b_\rho(\Phi)_{k2} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & b_\rho(\Phi)_{kn} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ b_\rho(\Phi)_{n1} & b_\rho(\Phi)_{n2} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & b_\rho(\Phi)_{nn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here  $k = |J_\lambda| + 1$  for the cardinality  $|J_\lambda|$  of  $J_\lambda$ .

**Corollary 3.16.** *If  $\lambda_i \neq 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and if  $\Phi$  satisfies that  $H^\lambda(b_\rho(\Phi)) = S(\rho \circ \Phi^*)$ , then*

$$\mu_j = b_\rho(\Phi)_{ij} = \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, n,$$

*so that  $\rho \circ \Phi^*$  is the normalized trace  $\text{Tr}/n$  and  $S(\rho \circ \Phi^*) = \log n$ .*

**Remark 3.17. (A connection with Hadamard matrix).** A bistochastic matrix  $b$  is said to be *unistochastic* if it is induced from some unitary matrix  $u$  by that  $b_{i,j} = |u_{i,j}|^2$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ . A  $n \times n$  unitary matrix  $u$  is called a *Hadamard matrix* if  $|u_{i,j}| = 1/\sqrt{n}$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ .

The above corollary means that if  $D_\rho$  has only non-zero eigenvalues, (i.e.,  $\lambda_i \neq 0$  for all  $i$ ) and if  $H^\lambda(b_\rho(\Phi)) = S(\Phi(D_\rho))$  then  $b_\rho(\Phi)$  is a unistochastic matrix induced from a Hadamard matrix.

**Example 3.18.** Here, we give some examples.

(1) If  $\rho$  is a pure state, then the four kinds constants satisfy that

$$S(\rho) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad S_\rho(\Phi) = H^\lambda(b_\rho(\Phi)) = S(\rho \circ \Phi^*)$$

for all positive unital  $\text{Tr}$ -preserving map  $\Phi$ .

(2) If  $\Phi$  is a  $*$ -isomorphism, then for all state  $\rho$  the followings hold:

$$S_\rho(\Phi) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad S(\rho \circ \Phi^*) = S(\Phi(D_\rho)) = S(D_\rho) = S(\rho).$$

In fact, if  $\Phi$  is a  $*$ -isomorphism, then  $\Phi(e)$  is a minimal projection for a minimal projection  $e$ , so that  $S_\rho(\Phi) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i S(\Phi(e_i)) = 0$  and of course  $S(\Phi(D_\rho)) = S(D_\rho)$ .

(3) If  $\Phi$  is a unital positive  $\text{Tr}$ -preserving map to the center  $\mathbb{C}1_M$  of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , then

$$S_\rho(\Phi) = H^\lambda(b_\rho(\Phi)) = S(\rho \circ \Phi^*) = \log n \quad \text{for all state } \rho.$$

In fact, for each  $i$ , put  $\Phi(e_i) = \alpha_i 1_M$  for  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$ , then  $1 = \text{Tr}(e_i) = \text{Tr}(\Phi(e_i)) = \alpha_i \text{Tr}(\Phi(1_M)) = \alpha_i n$  so that  $\Phi(e_i) = 1_M/n$ . This implies that  $S_\rho(\Phi) = \sum_i \lambda_i S(1_M/n) = \text{Tr}(\eta(1_M/n)) = \log n$ . Remember that in general  $S_\rho(\Phi) \leq H^\lambda(b_\rho(\Phi)) \leq S(\rho \circ \Phi^*) \leq \log n$  for all state  $\rho$ . Hence we have the equality.

## References

- [1] M. Choda, *Operator Algebraic Shannon's Interpretation for Entropy-preserving Stochastic Averages*, Preprint
- [2] M. D. Choi, *A Schwartz inequality for positive linear maps on  $C^*$ -algebras*, Ill. J. Math., **18**(1974), 565–574.
- [3] F. Hiai, M. Mosonyi, D. Petz and C. Beny, *Quantum  $f$ -divergence and error correction*, Rep. Math. Phys. **23**(2011), 691–747.
- [4] S. Neshveyev and E. Størmer, *Dynamical entropy in operator algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2006).
- [5] M. Ohya and D. Petz, *Quantum entropy and its use*, Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1993).
- [6] D. Petz, *Quantum Information Theory and Quantum Statistics*, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg (2008).
- [7] A. B. Poritz and J. A. Poritz, *On entropy-preserving stochastic averages*, Linear Algebra and its Applications **434** (2011), 1425–1442.
- [8] C. E. Shannon, *A mathematical theory of communication*, Bell Syst. Tech., **27**(1948), 379–423.
- [9] E. Størmer, *Positive linear maps of operator algebras*, Springer SMM Berline Heidelberg (2013).
- [10] K. Życzkowski, M. Kuś, W. Słomczyński and H.-J. Sommers, *Random unistochastic matrices*, J. Phys., **A36** (2003), 3425–3450.

Osaka Kyoiku University  
 Asahigaoka, Kashiwara 582-8582  
 JAPAN  
 marie@cc.osaka-kyoiku.ac.jp